

Finite Type: Recurring Examples

Graham Leuschke
KU
November 2, 2001

Contexts:

1. Quivers & Blowups

2. Simplicity

3. Deformations

4. Module Type

The Examples: The curves in \mathbb{C}^2 defined by

$$(A_n): y^2 + x^{n+1} = 0$$

$$(D_n): xy^2 + y^{n-1} = 0$$

$$(E_6): y^3 + x^4 = 0$$

$$(E_7): y^3 + x^3y = 0$$

$$(E_8): y^3 + x^5 = 0$$

Quivers

Def: A *quiver* is a finite directed graph. It can have loops or multiple arrows.

Eg's:

Def: A *representation* of a quiver Q (over \mathbb{C}) is an assignment to each vertex x a finite-dimensional vector space \mathbb{C}^{n_x} and to each arrow $x \rightarrow y$ a linear map $\mathbb{C}^{n_x} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n_y}$.

(We don't assume anything commutes, or agrees, or anything.)

Eg's:

For a fixed quiver Q we can define the direct sum of (finitely many) representations by just taking the direct sum of \mathbb{C}^{n_x} and $\mathbb{C}^{n'_x}$.

There's an obvious notion of *isomorphism* of representations and of *indecomposable* ones (ones that aren't nontrivial direct sums of other ones).

Fact: Every representation is a direct sum of indecomposable ones.

Eg's:

Def: Say a quiver Q has *finite representation type* if there are, up to isomorphism, only finitely many indecomposable representations of Q .

Which quivers have finite representation type?

There are five types (at least if you ignore which way the arrows go):

So we've got 5 (classes of) equations, and 5 (classes of) quivers. How to get from one to the other? Blow'em up.

Def: Let $f(x, y)$ be a polynomial with a singularity at the origin. Define a *blowup* of f at the origin to be a factorization of f using either y/x or x/y .

Eg: Let $f(x, y) = y^2 + x^3$. Then a blowup of f is

$$\begin{aligned}y^2 + x^3 &= x^2 \left((y/x)^2 + x \right) \\ &= x^2 (u^2 + x)\end{aligned}$$

The point of this is that, when we think of y/x as a new variable, the blowup now has a factor of the form $x + \text{something}$. When these are graphed, they're smooth (no singularities). A factor of the form $y + \text{something}$ would have been OK too.

The idea of blowing up is that the curve gets smoother.

Sometimes you have to blow up several times to get to a completely smooth curve. The procedure is this: blow up once, factor. Blow up each factor. Repeat. Eventually every factor will have a smooth factor.

Keep track of the factors with a *desingularization diagram*:

Which polynomials have the desingularization diagrams corresponding to the quivers that we saw had finite representation type?

The polynomials $f(x, y)$ whose desingularization quiver has finite representation type are exactly the (A_n) , (D_n) , (E_6) , (E_7) , (E_8) polynomials.

Too complicated? Try simplicity

Def: A polynomial $F(x, y)$ is *simple* if there are only finitely many ways to write

$$F = G_1G_2 + G_3G_4 + \cdots + G_{2n-1}G_{2n},$$

where "different" means that the g_i generate different *ideals*.

The *ideal* generated by a bunch of polynomials (G_1, \dots, G_k) is the set of all polynomials

$$G_1H_1 + \cdots + G_kH_k,$$

where the H_i are polynomials.

Which non-linear polynomials $F(x, y)$ are simple?

$$(A_n): y^2 + x^{n+1}$$

$$(D_n): xy^2 + y^{n-1}$$

$$(E_6): y^3 + x^4$$

$$(E_7): y^3 + x^3y$$

$$(E_8): y^3 + x^5$$

Maybe that's not surprising enough. Factoring polynomials (blowing up) looks a lot like writing them as $G_1G_2 + \cdots + G_{2n-1}G_{2n}$.

On the other hand, I don't know of any direct proof that the two concepts are the same.

Something completely different

Def: A *deformation* of a polynomial $f(x, y)$ is a polynomial of the form $f(x, y) + g(x, y)$, where g is not in the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ generated by $(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y})$.

Notice: That's the Jacobian ideal. Things not in it are "small". Geometrically, this corresponds to twiddling the curve just a little bit.

Def: Say a polynomial $f(x, y)$ has *finite deformation type* if there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of rings $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(f + g)$, where $f + g$ is a deformation of f .

Which non-linear polynomials have finite deformation type?

You guessed it.

Finite Module Type

Def: Let R be a ring. A *module* M over R is an abelian group, such that elements of R can be multiplied by elements of M . (And satisfy associativity, distributivity, etc.)

We assume all modules are finitely generated.

Eg: Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Then R -modules are just abelian groups. The finitely generated ones are direct sums of \mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Any interesting ring has infinitely many modules. We should restrict the class a little to get anything interesting.

Def: A module M is *torsionfree* if whenever $rm = 0$, where $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, either $m = 0$ or r divides zero in R .

Def: A ring has *finite module type* if it has only finitely many torsionfree modules.

Which rings of the form $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(f)$ have finite module type?

Take a wild guess.

Bizarre final chapter

You can ask all of these same finiteness questions for polynomials in more than two variables.

The answers are just $f(x, y) + z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2$, where f is one of ours and the z_i are new variables.

Crazy.