

Splitting properties of big Cohen-Macaulay modules

Mel Hochster, Graham Leuschke, Rebecca R. G.

Fall Western Sectional Meeting of the AMS
San Francisco
27 October 2018

Artin algebras

Recall that an artinian ring Λ is said to have **finite representation type** if there are only finitely many indecomposable finitely generated left Λ -modules up to isomorphism.

Theorem (Ringel-Tachikawa, Auslander '74)

Let Λ be an artinian ring of finite representation type.

*Then **every** left Λ -module is a direct sum of finitely generated Λ -modules.*

In fact, Auslander also proved the converse: if every module is a sum of finitely generated modules, then Λ has finite representation type.

Integral group representation theory

Definition

- ▶ Let T be a Dedekind domain. A T -order is a T -algebra Λ which is finitely generated projective as a T -module.
- ▶ A lattice over Λ is a finitely generated Λ -module which is projective over T .
- ▶ A generalized lattice is the same thing without assuming finite generation.

Example

$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G$, the p -adic group ring of a finite group G .

Integral group representation theory

Definition

Let T be a DVR and Λ a T -order. Say that Λ has **finite lattice type** if there are only finitely many indecomposable lattices up to isomorphism.

Theorem (Butler-Campbell-Kovács '04)

Let Λ be a T -order of finite lattice type. Then every generalized lattice is a direct sum of lattices.

Example

If all Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic of order p or p^2 , then every generalized lattice over $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p G$ is a direct sum of lattices.

MCM representation theory

Goal

Consider analogues of the results of Auslander, Ringel-Tachikawa, and Butler-Campbell-Kovács for **big Cohen-Macaulay modules** in dimensions ≥ 2 .

From now on, let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a Cohen-Macaulay complete local ring.

Definition

- ▶ A finitely generated R -module M is **maximal Cohen-Macaulay (MCM)** if every system of parameters in $\mathfrak{m}$ is a regular sequence on M .
- ▶ A **balanced big Cohen-Macaulay (big CM)** module is the same thing without assuming finite generation.
- ▶ Say R has **finite CM type** if there are only finitely many indecomposable MCM modules up to isomorphism.

Previously

Theorem (Holm '14)

*Every big CM module over a CM complete local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a **direct limit** of MCM modules.*

Note the similarity with the theorem of Govorov-Lazard: a module M over a commutative ring A is flat iff it is a direct limit of finitely generated free modules.

Corollary

Over a complete RLR, big CM $\iff$ flat.

Extending Butler-Campbell-Kovács

Assume that R contains a complete regular local ring T over which it is finite. (Could say that R is a T -order.)

Definition

- ▶ A **lattice** over R is a finitely generated R -module which is projective over T .
- ▶ A **generalized lattice** is the same thing without assuming finite generation.

Easy Observations

- ▶ lattice $\iff$ MCM
- ▶ generalized lattice $\implies$ big CM, but not conversely.

Main result 1

Theorem

Let $T \subseteq R$ be a finite extension of two-dimensional complete local rings with T regular. Assume that R has finite lattice type. Then every generalized lattice over R is a direct sum of lattices.

Lemma

Let B be a big CM R -module and B' a first syzygy of B . Then B' is a lattice.

Corollary

The first syzygy of every big CM R -module is a direct sum of MCM modules.

Proof sketch

The proof follows the outlines of Butler-Campbell-Kovács.

- ▶ Let $G = \bigoplus M_i$ be the Auslander module, which generates $\text{MCM}(R)$. Set $\Lambda = \text{End}_R(G)$, the Auslander algebra. Then Λ has global dimension 2.
- ▶ The functor $\text{Hom}_R(G, -): \text{Mod-}R \longrightarrow \text{Mod-}\Lambda$ defines an equivalence

$$\{\text{big CM } R\text{-modules}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{flat } \Lambda\text{-modules}\}$$

- ▶ The restriction gives an equivalence

$$\{\text{generalized } R\text{-lattices}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{projective } \Lambda\text{-modules}\}$$

- ▶ Use the equivalence to show that any generalized lattice is a direct summand of a direct sum of copies of G . □

Proof sketch

The proof follows the outlines of Butler-Campbell-Kovács.

- ▶ Let $G = \bigoplus M_i$ be the Auslander module, which generates $\text{MCM}(R)$. Set $\Lambda = \text{End}_R(G)$, the Auslander algebra. Then Λ has global dimension 2.
- ▶ The functor $\text{Hom}_R(G, -): \text{Mod-}R \longrightarrow \text{Mod-}\Lambda$ defines an equivalence

$$\{\text{big CM } R\text{-modules}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{flat } \Lambda\text{-modules}\}$$

- ▶ The restriction gives an equivalence

$$\{\text{generalized } R\text{-lattices}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{projective } \Lambda\text{-modules}\}$$

- ▶ Use the equivalence to show that any generalized lattice is a direct summand of a direct sum of copies of G . □

Proof sketch

The proof follows the outlines of Butler-Campbell-Kovács.

- ▶ Let $G = \bigoplus M_i$ be the **Auslander module**, which generates $\text{MCM}(R)$. Set $\Lambda = \text{End}_R(G)$, the **Auslander algebra**. Then Λ has global dimension 2.
- ▶ The functor $\text{Hom}_R(G, -): \text{Mod-}R \longrightarrow \text{Mod-}\Lambda$ defines an equivalence

$$\{\text{big CM } R\text{-modules}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{flat } \Lambda\text{-modules}\}$$

- ▶ The restriction gives an equivalence

$$\{\text{generalized } R\text{-lattices}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{projective } \Lambda\text{-modules}\}$$

- ▶ Use the equivalence to show that any generalized lattice is a direct summand of a direct sum of copies of G . □

Proof sketch

The proof follows the outlines of Butler-Campbell-Kovács.

- ▶ Let $G = \bigoplus M_i$ be the Auslander module, which generates $\text{MCM}(R)$. Set $\Lambda = \text{End}_R(G)$, the Auslander algebra. Then Λ has global dimension 2.
- ▶ The functor $\text{Hom}_R(G, -): \text{Mod-}R \longrightarrow \text{Mod-}\Lambda$ defines an equivalence

$$\{\text{big CM } R\text{-modules}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{flat } \Lambda\text{-modules}\}$$

- ▶ The restriction gives an equivalence

$$\{\text{generalized } R\text{-lattices}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{projective } \Lambda\text{-modules}\}$$

- ▶ Use the equivalence to show that any generalized lattice is a direct summand of a direct sum of copies of G . □

McKay Correspondence

Another approach uses Auslander's MCM McKay Correspondence.

Theorem (Auslander '86)

Suppose R is a complete local $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of dimension 2, and R has finite CM type. Then $R \cong \mathbb{C}[[u, v]]^G$ for some finite subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ acting linearly.

Theorem (Herzog '87)

In the above situation, the MCM R -modules are precisely the R -summands of $\mathbb{C}[[u, v]]$.

Invariant theory

Fact (from classical invariant theory)

Let $S = \mathbb{C}[[u, v]]$ and $R = S^G$, where G is a finite group.

Then the R -direct summands of S are (up to multiplicities) the *isotypic components* S_ρ of the action. Here ρ runs over all irreducible representations of G .

In particular every indecomposable MCM R -module M is isomorphic to some S_ρ , and

$$\text{rank } S_\rho = \dim_k \rho.$$

Main result 2

Theorem

Let $R \subseteq S$ be a finite extension of two-dimensional complete local rings with S regular. Assume that R is a summand of S as R -modules, and that S is isomorphic to a direct sum of R -modules of rank 1. Then every big CM R -module is a direct sum of MCM modules.

Corollary

If $R = k[[u, v]]^G$, where G is an abelian group of order invertible in k , then every big CM R -module is a direct sum of MCM modules.

In particular this includes the (A_n) singularities $k[[x, y, z]]/(xy - z^{n+1})$.

Recent other work

Theorem (Bahlekeh-Fotouhi-Salarian '18)

A complete CM local ring R is of finite CM type if and only if every balanced big CM R -module M with $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary cohomological annihilator is a direct sum of finitely generated modules.

I don't know whether this result subsumes ours, but I suspect so.